RECLANATION Managing Water in the West

Groundwater Hydrology in West-Wide Climate Risk Assessment: No Standard Practice

February 24, 2012, Riverside, CA

Subhrendu Gangopadhyay, Ian Ferguson, Laura Condon Water Resources Planning and Operations Support Group Technical Service Center, Denver, Colorado



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation

Background

- Public Law 111-11, Subtitle F (SECURE Water Act, SWA, 2009) § 9503.
- Climate change risks for water and environmental resources in "major Reclamation river basins."
- Reclamation's WaterSMART (Sustain and Manage America's Resources for Tomorrow) Basin Study Program
 - 1. Basin Studies
 - West-Wide Climate Risk Assessments (WWCRAs)
 - Landscape Conservation Cooperatives (LCCs)

SECURE – Science and Engineering to Comprehensively
Understand and Responsibly Enhance
RECLAMATION



8 major Reclamation River Basin

Reclamation WaterSMART Program



Outline

- West-Wide Climate Risk Assessments (WWCRAs) foundation for Basin Studies
- Groundwater Hydrology in the context of Basin Studies

 selected examples : Santa Ana Watershed (CA), and
 ongoing Basin Studies with a GW component
- Groundwater Hydrology Research and Development Office efforts – Science and Technology (S&T) Program

Institutional Layout

Program Management Office (PMO) WWCRAs Basin Studies

Technical Service Center (TSC) Research and Development Office (RDO) S&T Program Directed Research

Water Resources Planning and Operations Support Group and Economics Team

- Tom Pruitt (Hydrology, Data Management)
- Ian Ferguson (Hydrology)
- Kristine Blickenstaff (Hydrology, Operations Modeling)
- Todd Vandergrift (Data Management, Operations Modeling)
- Mark Spears (Demand Management)
- Alan Harrison (Demand Mgt., CU&L)
- Dave King (Operations Modeling)
- Nancy Parker (Operations Modeling)
- Jon Platt (Economics)
- Steve Piper (Economics)
- Rob Davis (Economics)
- Linux Cluster



West-Wide Climate Risk Assessments (WWCRAs) - foundation for Basin Studies

Groundwater Hydrology in the context of Basin Studies – selected examples : Santa Ana Watershed (CA), and ongoing Basin Studies with a GW component

Groundwater Hydrology Research and Development Office efforts – Science and Technology (S&T) Program

WEST-WIDE CLIMATE RISK ASSESSMENTS

SECURE Water Act, 2009

Coordination



Monitoring

SECURE Water Act, 2009

Risks

- Change in snowpack
- Groundwater recharge and discharge
- Increases in water demand or reservoir evaporation as result of increasing temperature
- Impacts
 - Ability to deliver water
 - Hydroelectric power generation
 - Recreation at Reclamation facilities
 - Fish and wildlife habitat
 - Endangered, threatened, candidate species
 - Water quality issues
 - Flow dependent ecological resiliency
 - Flood control management





West Wide Climate Risk Assessments

Baseline Assessments of Risks and Impacts

- Transforming General Circulation Model information into a spatial and temporal scale relevant to a planning context
- Projections of Future Water Supply
- Projections of Future Water Demand
- Simulating future operations of Reclamation facilities
 - Hydropower, flood control, ... etc.
- Determining Ecosystem Responses and Resiliency

Consistent approach across 8 major Reclamation River Basins



Downscaled GCM Output



Hydrologic Modeling – VIC Setup, 2 **Steps 1.Land Surface Simulation** 2. Streamflow Routing simulate runoff (and other fluxes) at each grid cell to outlet



transport runoff from grid cell



What's being simulated

- 112 gridded climate projections → 112 gridded hydrology projections (runoff, swe, et, pet)
- Time Period: daily 1950-2099
- ~36,000 grid cells at 1/8th degree (~12 km) spatial resolution

Hydrologic Modeling - VIC Applications



Results - WWCRA

- Precipitation and temperature trends
- Change in snowpack SWE
- Timing of runoff
- For reporting
 - 43 WWCRA locations spanning the major Reclamation basins
 - 152 HCDN (Hydroclimate Data Network) sites spanning the western US

Results – West-Wide Summary

- Precipitation is expected to increase from the 1990s level during the 2020s and 2050s, but declines nominally during the 2070s. (though the early to middle 21st century increases could be artifacts of the BCSD climate projections development leading to slightly wetter projections).
- Temperature shows a persistent increasing trend from the 1990s level.
- April 1st SWE shows a persistent decreasing trend from the 1990s level.
- Annual runoff shows some increase for the 2020s decade from the 1990s level, but shows decline moving forward to the 2050s and 2070s decade from the 1990s reference, suggesting that although precipitation changes are projected to remain positive through the 2050s, temperature changes begin to offset these precipitation increases leading to net loss in the water balance through increased evapotranspiration losses.
- Winter season (December-March) runoff shows an increasing trend.
- Spring-summer season (April-July) runoff shows a decreasing trend.

Reporting

http://www.usbr.gov/climate



Technical Memorandum No. 86-68210-2011-01

West-Wide Climate Risk Assessments: Bias-Corrected and Spatially Downscaled Surface Water Projections







March 2911



SECURE Water Act Section 9503(c) – Reclamation Climate Change and Water 2011







Online Data Access

🛛 🎆 Bias Corrected and Downscale 🗙 💽

-> C 🔇 gdo-dcp.ucllnl.org/downscaled_cmip3_projections/dcpInterface.html



Bias Corrected and Downscaled WCRP CMIP3 Climate and Hydrology Projections

This site is best viewed with <u>Chrome</u> (recommended) or Firefox. Some features are unavailable when using Internet Explorer. Requires JavaScript to be enabled.

Welcome

About Tutorials

ete Archives 🔋 Feedback

Summary

This archive contains fine spatial-resolution translations of:

- climate projections over the contiguous United States (U.S.) developed using two downscaling techniques (month) BCSD Figure 1, and daily BCCA Figure 2), and
- hydrologic projections over the western U.S. (roughly the western U.S. Figure 3) corresponding to the monthly RCSD climate projections.

Archive content is based on global climate projections from the <u>World Climate Research Programme's</u> (WCRP's) <u>Coupled</u> <u>Model Intercomparison Project phase 3</u> (CMIP3) multi-model dataset, which was referenced in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report. Please see the "About" page for information on projection development, including the methodology to perform climate model bias-correction and spatial downscaling.

Purpose

The archive is meant to provide access to climate and hydrologic projections at spatial and temporal scales more relevant to some of the watershed and basin-scale decisions facing water managers and planners dealing with climate change. Such access permits several types of analyses, including:

- assessment of local to regional climate projection uncertainty.
- assessment of potential climate change impacts on natural and social systems (e.g., watershed hydrology, occcyctome, water and energy demands).

risk-based exploration of planning and policy responses framed by potential climate changes exemplified by these

Figure 1: BCSD CMIP3 Monthly Climate Analysis example -Median projected change in average-annual precipitation (cm/year), 2041-70 versus 1971-2000.



Figure 2. BCCA CMIP3 Daily Climate Analysis example -

Step 1 – Land Surface Simulation

- For each grid cell VIC simulates daily fluxes:
 - surface runoff
 - baseflow
 - evapotranspiration
 - etc.



Online Data Access

Bias Corrected and Downscale × +	23
 C S gdo-dcp.uclini.org/downscaled_cmip3_projections/dcpInterface.html#Projections:%20Subset%20Request 	3
RECLAMATION EUSGS CLIMATE CENTRAL CEN	Â
This site is best viewed with <u>Chrome</u> (recommended) or Firefox. Some features are unavailable when using Internet Explorer. Requires JavaScript to enabled.	be
Welcome About Tutorials Projections: Subset Request Projections: Complete Archives Feedback Links	
Click on the sub-tabs below to select the projection archive for a custom request. Then customized retreival is specified using the forms specific to each projection archive, spread among three sub tabs ("Page 1: Products, Variables & Projections", "Page 2: Temporal & Spatial Extent", "Page 3: Analysis, Format, & Notification"). The form permits specification of projection subsets according i user selections for products, variables, models, emissions scenarios, time periods, geographical areas, series versus statistical output, and output format. Submissions are constrained so that the resulting file download size does not exceed approximately 1 gigabytes. The form tracks user selections and indicates whether the specified request is within this size constraint. Requests are queu at LLNL Green Data Oasis for processing. When the request has been processed and made ready for download, the user is notified via the email submitted in the form below (sub-tab "Page 3: Analysis, Format, & Notification").	to
BCSD-CMIP3-Climate-monthly BCCA-CMIP3-Climate-daily BCSD-CMIP3-Hydrology-monthly	
Enter specifications on three page form below. Then press 'Submit Request'.	
Submit Request Form Status (completed == green) Size (%, 100 max): 1	
Page 1: Products, Variables, Projections Page 2: Temporal & Spatial Extent Page 3: Analysis, Format, & Notification	
Step 1.1: Products & Variables – monthly projections ?	
Products 1/8 degree BCSD projections 1/8 degree Observed data (1950-1999) 2 degree Raw GCM projections Ave Surface Air Temperature (deg C)	





Step 2- Streamflow Routing

 Transport runoff (surface runoff and baseflow) move water from the grid cells through the flow network to the outlet or routing locations of interest



RECLAMA

VIC River Network Routing Model

VIC Applications With Routing Locations





Blue triangles – HCDN locations (total 152) RECLAMATION

Online Data Access Daily and Monthly Streamflow Projections Jan 1, 1950 – Dec 31, 2099 195 locations West-Wide

http://gis.usbr.gov/streamflow_projections/



Data Dissemination

 Gridded hydroclimate co-hosted with the current CMIP-3 archive at LLNL

http://gdo-dcp.ucllnl.org/downscaled_cmip3_projections

- Time-series of streamflow projections, Reclamation GIS/WaterSMART website http://gis.usbr.gov/streamflow_projections/
- American Geophysical Union (AGU) Eos Article describing the online gridded hydroclimate archive (... more than 61,000 Earth and space scientists worldwide ...)

Gangopadhyay, S., T. Pruitt, L. Brekke, and D. Raff (2011), Hydrologic projections for the western United States, Eos Trans. AGU, 92(48), 441, doi:10.1029/2011EO480001.

West-Wide Climate Risk Assessments (WWCRAs) - foundation for Basin Studies

Groundwater Hydrology in the context of Basin Studies – selected examples : Santa Ana Watershed (CA), and ongoing Basin Studies with a GW component

Groundwater Hydrology Research and Development Office efforts – Science and Technology (S&T) Program

GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY IN THE CONTEXT OF BASIN STUDIES – SELECTED EXAMPLES RECLAMATION

Institutional Layout

Program Management Office (PMO) WWCRAs Basin Studies

Technical Service Center (TSC) Research and Development Office (RDO) S&T Program Directed Research

Basin Study Examples

- Water supply projections surface water and ground water
- Santa Ana Watershed, Southern California



Introduction

Groundwater is the single largest water source within the Santa Ana Watershed



Introduction

Climate change will affect the hydrologic processes that govern water resources – including groundwater



Introduction

The objective of this work is to

- Develop a simplified modeling framework for evaluating climate change impacts on groundwater levels
- Apply this framework to evaluate potential impacts of climate change, as well as mitigation/adaptation alternatives



Outline

- Brief overview of "traditional" groundwater modeling
- Development of simplified modeling framework
- Model input data and pre-processing
- Preliminary results
- Ongoing work



"Traditional" Groundwater Modeling



"Traditional" Groundwater Modeling

- Advantages
 - Explicitly considers all groundwater inflows and outflows – e.g., recharge, loss, abstraction, etc.
 - Spatially distributed (gridded) information
 e.g., change in water table distribution
- Disadvantages
 - Data requirements spatially distributed climate, vegetation, land cover/use, soils, geology, etc., etc.
 - Computational expense pre-processing to compute recharge, model calibration, simulation of 2D/3D flow
 - Accumulation of uncertainties during each step




- **ΔS** = Inputs Outputs
- ΔS ≈ Change in Basin-Average Groundwater Elevation
- Fluctuation in groundwater levels represents change in groundwater storage
- But...
 - Does not require specific information regarding soil properties (porosity, permeability, specific yield)
 - Does not require actual volume of groundwater gains (recharge) and losses (abstraction, baseflow, ET, etc.)

ΔS = Inputs - Outputs

Inputs

- ≈ *f*{precipitation}
- + *f*{streamflow}
- + *f*{imports}
- Precipitation contributes to recharge within basin; reduces GW abstraction for irrigation
- Streamflow may contribute to recharge within basin; SW use reduces GW abstraction; SW may be used for recharge
- Imports imports reduce GW abstraction; imports may be used for managed recharge

ΔS = Inputs - Outputs

Outputs

- ≈ f{Potential ET}
 - + f{M&I Demand}
 - + f{Ag Demand}
- Potential ET -
- high evaporative demand increases water use by natural, landscaping, & agricultural; reduces recharge
- M&I Demand high demand increases abstraction; decreases SW available for recharge
- Ag Demand high demand increases abstraction; decreases SW available for recharge

Representative Quantities

Inputs
f{precipitation}
f{s:reamflow}
f{imports}

Outputs ≈ *f*{Potential ET} - *f*{N&I Demand} - *f*{Ag Demand}

$$f\{x_{ym}\} = C_x \cdot x'_{ym} = C_x \cdot \left(\frac{x_{ym} - \overline{x}_m}{\sigma_{x_m}}\right)$$

The use of <u>standardized representative values</u> – rather than actual volumes – for each term significantly reduces data collection and pre-processing requirements and provides a more flexible modeling framework

Model Formulation: Autoregressive + Multiple Linear Regression

$$\begin{aligned} h'_{t} &= \rho_{1} \cdot \left(h'_{t-1}\right) + C_{1} \cdot \left(P'\right) + C_{2} \cdot \left(Q'_{local}\right) + C_{3} \cdot \left(Q'_{import}\right) \\ &+ C_{4} \cdot \left(PET'\right) + C_{5} \cdot \left(D'_{AG}\right) + C_{6} \cdot \left(D'_{MI}\right) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Groundwater Elevation

Source: SAWPA groundwater database



Groundwater Elevation

- Eliminate records with greater than 50% missing (by month)
- Eliminate individual outlier points
- Compute monthly mean GW levels for all months in record
- Interpolate to fill missing data (no extrapolation)

495 well records over four groundwater basins

Groundwater Elevation

Clustering routine to identify wells with similar behavior



Basin-Average Precipitation & Potential ET

- Weighted average of gridded historical datasets over individual groundwater basins
- Source: Maurer et al. (2002) gridded climate dataset;

Reclamation (2011) hydrologic simulations (PET)



Streamflow

- Simulated natural streamflow at selected locations
- Source: Reclamation (2011) hydrologic simulations



M&I Demand

- Population x Per Capita Demand
- Sources: population Census tract data;

per capita demand – 2000 & 2010 UWMPs



M&I Demand

- Population x Per Capita Demand
- Sources: population Census tract data;
 - per capita demand 2000 & 2010 UWMPs



Agricultural Demand

Irrigated acreage as surrogate for irrigation water demand

Source: SCAG land use database



Augmented Supplies – Imports & Reuse

- Incomplete...Ongoing ...
- Source: 2000 & 2010 UWMPs (insufficient data)







- 8-2: Upper Santa Ana Valley
- ➢ 284 wells
- 10 independent well clusters (1-125 wells/cluster)





- 8-2: Upper Santa Ana Valley
- ➢ 284 wells
- 10 independent well clusters (1-125 wells/cluster)





Summary

- Developed a simplified modeling framework
- Collected and pre-processed large amount of data
- Identified well clusters in each groundwater basin with similar behavior
- Fit regression models for each well cluster

Initial results demonstrate that the simple modeling framework developed here is able to reproduce key features of year-to-year variations in observed GW levels

Next Steps

Implement within decision support system

Projections

Evaluate changes in GW level under projected climate, M&I demand, agricultural acreage, etc.

Trade-off analysis

Given projected changes in climate, population, & land use

... what changes in per capita demand, water imports, and water re-use are required to maintain GW above a given level?

Basin Studies with a Groundwater Hydrology Component

RECLAMATIC

- Santa Ana Watershed (CA)
- Hood River Basin (OR)
- Niobrara Basin Study (WY/SD/NE)
- Lower Rio Grande Basin Study (TX)
- Klamath River Basin (CA/OR)

Niobrara Basin Study





RECLAMATION

GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OFFICE EFFORTS – S&T PROGRAM

Groundwater Hydrology Research and Development Office efforts – Science and Technology (S&T) Program

Groundwater Hydrology in the context of Basin Studies – selected examples : Santa Ana Watershed (CA), and ongoing Basin Studies with a GW component

West-Wide Climate Risk Assessments (WWCRAs) - foundation for Basin Studies

Institutional Layout

Program Management Office (PMO) WWCRAs Basin Studies

Technical Service Center (TSC) Research and Development Office (RDO) S&T Program Directed Research

LTdoc: Development Pathway, co-authored by USACE & Reclamation





Addressing Climate Change in Long-Term Water Resources Planning and Management User Needs for Improving Tools and Information



C-CAWWG February 2008 Workshop USGS Circular 1331 January 2009 CCAWWG User Needs Document

http://www.usbr.gov/climate/userneeds/

LTdoc "gaps" are organized by eight technical areas

Preliminaries

- 1. Summarize Relevant Literature
- 2. **Obtaining Climate Projections Data**

Making Planning Assumptions

- 3. Make Decisions about How to **Relate Climate Projections Data to** Planning
- Assess Natural Systems **4**. Response
- Assess Socioeconomic and 5. Institutional Response (highlighted)

Conducting Planning Evaluations and Supporting Decisions

- Assess Systems Risk and Evaluate 6. Alternatives
- 7. Assess and Characterize Uncertainties
- 8. Communicate Results and Uncertainties to Decision-Makers



observed record

Area 3: Make Decisions About How To Use the Climate Change Information: From the body of climate projections surveyed, decisions must be made on which projections to use and which aspects of these projections to relate to planning assumptions on water supplies, water demands, and operating constraints

Contact Us | Site Map

- Area 4: Assess Natural System Responses: Based on the preceding area's decisions, this area involves assessing the natural systems response under projected climate conditions. Results from these analyses will be used to set assumptions about future water supplies, water demands, and operating constraints. Types of natural systems responses include watershed hydrology, ecosystems land cover, water guality, consumptive use requirements of irrigated lands, sedimentation and river hydraulics, and sea level rise.
- Area 5: Assess Socioeconomic and Institutional Response: This area involves assessing social economic, and institutional responses to climate change that could influence planning assumptions concerning water demands and operating constraints (e.g., constraints that determine source of suppl preference and/or expected level of operating performance relative to objectives such as flood risk reduction, environmental management, water guality management, water allocation for agricultural municipal use, energy production, recreation, and navigation).
- Area 6: Assess Resource Management Performance (Figure 1) or Infrastructure Safety and Floor Risk Reduction (Figure 2); Evaluate Alternatives: This area involves assessing system risks based on future planning assumptions (informed by Areas 4 and 5); and, as necessary, evaluating long-term management alternatives to address climate change risks. For example, many water resources management studies focus on operations risk and assumptions about future water supplies, demands, and operating constraints. In contrast, infrastructure safety or flood risk reduction studies focus on human safety and economic and environmental damages under assumptions about future extreme hydrologic event probabilities. Water quality studies focus on the interaction between the human activities. landscape hydrology, and aquatic systems

http://www.usbr.gov/research/climate/long-term/improvements.html

Library **Projects & Facilities** Research Office Science and Technolog Program



Reclamation RDO is using LTdoc to steer research engagements on multiple fronts

external collaborations, focus varies, research program investments, mutually relevant gaps (examples) framed by full menu of gaps **Reclamation RDO** CA DWR and LTdoc: Call for Internal WSWC, focus on defining needs Proposals (S&T) Extreme Events (knoledge, methods. tools) **Reclamation Dam Reclamation RDO** Safety Office & **Directed Research** Flood Hydrology (S&T) Group, NOAA and LTdoc NCAR, focus on Research Hydrologic Hazards Strategy **Reclamation PMO** (late 2011) **DOI Climate Science WaterSMART** Centers, focus on Grants – Climate natural systems Data Analysis Tools response (?)

Knowledge Gaps

- 1. What is the present role of groundwater as a multi-use supply source in the Western States?
- 2. How will natural recharge in groundwater basins of the West be affected by climate change?
- 3. Can paleohydrology be used to understand climate variability implications on groundwater resources of the West?
- 4. How is water quality impacted by climate change and what are its implications on groundwater resources of the West?
- 5. What tools are available and necessary to study groundwater-surface water management in a changing climate?
- 6. Can there be a proactive communication and institutional strategy with the science strategy?
- 7. What is the role of groundwater in defining tribal interests and in evaluation of cultural value? Understand climate change implications and risk.
- 8. How will climate change affect the water-energy nexus, and cascading effects on groundwater-surface water management?

Source: AZ Water Inst., USBR 2009 workshop RECLAMATIC

Thought Process

- 1. What are the <u>questions</u> of interest to Reclamation where GW-SW interaction has a prominent role?
- 2. Where are the sites where these <u>questions</u> are currently relevant and where the <u>answers</u> would be helpful now and in the future?
- 3. What would be a plan of <u>action</u> (define needs, tasks to address needs) that gets at the <u>answers</u>.
- 4. Define some tractable pilot projects to demonstrate <u>action</u> in producing information.
- 5. <u>Answers</u> that can inform policy making or changes to existing policy.

Questions of Interest to Reclamation

1. Infrastructure management

2. Operations management

3. Interaction between infrastructure and operations management

Supply-Demands-Issues

Groundwater Resources -SUPPLY USBR Service Areas -DEMANDS





Source: USGS RASA study http://water.usgs.gov/cgi/rasabiblio/?form=map

Supply-Demands-Issues



What are the questions of interest to Reclamation where GW-SW interaction has a prominent role?

Research:

Can "traditional" hydrologic models be used to evaluate hydrologic response to climate change in regions where baseflow is a significant component of discharge?

"Traditional" LSM with 1D baseflow parametization



Coupled LS/GW Model with 3D groundwater flow



Research:

Can "traditional" hydrologic models be used to evaluate hydrologic response to climate change in regions where baseflow is a significant component of discharge?

- Compare model biases between basins with high/low baseflow (uncalibrated and calibrated)
- Compare model biases and hydrologic projections between model structures:
 - LSM with 1D baseflow parameterization (VIC)
 - LSM with unconfined aquifer model (VIC-GW)
 - LSM loosely coupled with GW model (VIC+MODFLOW)
 - Fully-coupled SW-GW-LSM (ParFlow, HydroGeoSphere)

The Upper Klamath Basin





COLORADOSCHOOLOFMINES RECLAMATION

Research Goals

- Build a regional model of the Upper Klamath Basin using ParFlow (brief model description in next slides)
- Assess the impact of subsurface characterization on land energy fluxes and the regional water budget
- Fully integrated groundwater-surface water processes embedded within operations models

ParFlow

Fully-integrated hydrology model



ParFlow References: Kollet and Maxwell (2008), Kollet and Maxwell (2006), Maxwell and Miller (2005), Dai et al. (2003), Jones and Woodward (2001); Ashby and Falgout (1996)

- Groundwater flow: variably-saturated, threedimensional Richards equation
- Overland flow/surface runoff: free-surface overland flow boundary condition (Mannings + kinematic wave)
- Land surface water and energy fluxes: Common Land Model (CLM), includes infiltration, canopy and vegetation processes, and coupled water-energy balance
- Fully-coupled, mass conservative, parallel implementation

ParFlow

Groundwater-Surface Water-Land Surface Coupling



Research Questions

- 1. When considering regional water budgets on a spatiotemporal resolution relevant for water management is the variability between subsurface characterizations sufficient to impact decision making?
- 2. What is the relative importance of subsurface heterogeneity or topography in controlling the spatial structure of land energy fluxes and hydrologic variables on a regional scale?
- 3. Do relationships remain stationary given a range of realistic subsurface parameterizations?

Goals

- Integrate management algorithms into ParFlow
- Develop an application for a subset of the Upper Klamath domain
- Analyze several management scenarios
- Time permitting, compare integrated model results to a stand alone WEAP model

Methodology

- Analyze WEAP algorithms and integrate into ParFlow
- Define a simplified domain including a reservoir, groundwater pumping and surface irrigation
- Test integrated model with simple scenarios
- Define management scenarios to test
- Analyze several management scenarios using the integrated model

Management Domain



Subsurface Characterization

Sources of conductivity data

- USGS hydrogeologic strata map
- US permeability from Gleeson et al., 2010
- Well logs





Upper Klamath Hydrogeologic Units (USGS, 2005) RECLAMATION

Subsurface Permeability (Gleeson et al., 2010)

Summary

- Broad-based approach
- Basin Studies (PMO + Regions + Area Offices) – best actionable science
- Applied Research (RDO) S&T program, and Directed Research Activities

Groundwater Hydrology in West-Wide Climate Risk Assessment: No Standard Practice

Groundwater Hydrology in West-Wide Climate Risk Assessment: Towards Standard Practice

Jack Simes, SCAO, <u>jsimes@usbr.gov</u> Subhrendu Gangopadhyay, TSC, <u>sgangopadhyay@usbr.gov</u>